2021 Toledo Lucas County Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects (PSH, RRH, Joint TH-RRH, Expansion, or Other)

Reviewer Name:	Date:
Project Name:	
Project Type: PSH; RRH; Joint TH-RRH (is this for an [\Box Expansion or \Box DV Bonus?)
Requested Amount (General Information, page 1 of application):	
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve (Total number of households, page 10 of application, se	econd chart):

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Statements	Possible Points	Yes/No
PSH & RRH Agencies submitting new projects have 8 requirements to meet in order to be considered for this funding, found in the HUD NOFO (Joint TH-RRH mustalso meet HUD minimum standards**).	All the requirements checked and/oraddressed = Yes One or more of the requirements not checked or addressed = No	
Informational Questions	Reviewer Comments	Score
Agency describes how composition reflects the demographics of population served		
Agency describes how it includes individuals with lived experience in project decision-making		N/A Reviewer Feedback
Agency describes specific, tangible efforts it has made to adjust operations to be more inclusive, diverse, and accessible		Only
Agency was responsive to COVID-19 by using available resources to ensure health and safety of program participants and staff		

Project Threshold Criteri	a	Scoring	Score
Agency meets HUD's eligi	bility and threshold criteria.	Pass/Fail	
Agency demonstrates ade	quate capacity to carry out grant (attachments	Pass/Fail	
required).*	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
. ,	s or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail	
Project sufficiently demons	strates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail	
	tch & sufficient commitments for leveraging to	Pass/Fail	
implement project.			
Agency does not have seri projects.	ious compliance or performance issues on current	Pass/Fail	
	quate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail	
	H & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards (Joint	Pass/Fail	
TH-RRH projects only)			
Other, as identified by revi	ewers.	Pass/Fail	
, ,	Threshold Statements Comments		
Agency Capacity	Possible		Score
	Points		
Agency demonstrates	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IF		
they have the capacity current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members;			
to carry out and	applicant has experience administering federal funds;	and there are no	
to carry out and implement the project	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20	and there are no Excellent	
to carry out and implement the project proposed.	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any	
to carry out and implement the project	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members;	
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points)	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no	
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good	
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions;	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements	,
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); b	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements poard consists of loca	,
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions;	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements poard consists of loca administering grant	
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); b volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements poard consists of loca administering grant	
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); b volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements poard consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = {	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); k volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = \$	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); b volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = \$	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); b volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by th	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements poard consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = {	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); k volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements poard consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor ne review team)	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); b volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by th Local government applicants (county or municipality) s	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements poard consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor ne review team)	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity.	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); t volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the Local government applicants (county or municipality) s points for this criteria provided that match has been ac demonstrated.	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor ne review team) should receive full lequately	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity. New project applications	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); t volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the Local government applicants (county or municipality) s points for this criteria provided that match has been ac demonstrated. Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor ne review team) should receive full lequately or concerns from	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); t volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the Local government applicants (county or municipality) s points for this criteria provided that match has been ac demonstrated. Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings HUD or any other governmental or foundation funder,	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor he review team) should receive full lequately or concerns from that doesn't	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity. New project applications	 applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government furmatch/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); be volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not democrapacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the Local government applicants (county or municipality) spoints for this criteria provided that match has been and demonstrated. Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings HUD or any other governmental or foundation funder, demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action matching 	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor he review team) should receive full lequately or concerns from that doesn't	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate capacity to carry out	applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government fu match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); t volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not demo capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the Local government applicants (county or municipality) s points for this criteria provided that match has been ac demonstrated. Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings HUD or any other governmental or foundation funder,	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor he review team) should receive full lequately or concerns from that doesn't	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate capacity to carry out project may be rejected	 applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government furmatch/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); be volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not democrapacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the Local government applicants (county or municipality) spoints for this criteria provided that match has been and demonstrated. Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings HUD or any other governmental or foundation funder, demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action matching 	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor he review team) should receive full lequately or concerns from that doesn't	5
to carry out and implement the project proposed. (20 possible points) New project applicants must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity. New project applications that do not demonstrate capacity to carry out project may be rejected by the review	 applicant has experience administering federal funds; match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ dive applicant has experience administering government furmatch/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); be volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for Adequate Response and required documentation does not democrapacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the Local government applicants (county or municipality) spoints for this criteria provided that match has been and demonstrated. Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings HUD or any other governmental or foundation funder, demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action matching 	and there are no Excellent 990 are current (any erse members; nds; and there are no Good financial statements board consists of loca administering grant reaching capacity = { onstrate experienceor he review team) should receive full lequately or concerns from that doesn't	5

Possible Points	Score
Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20	
Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0	
	Points Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20 Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15 Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) =

Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5	
Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3	
Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2	
Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0	
TOTAL (25 points maximum)	
omments	1
	experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5 Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3 Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2 Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0 Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0 TOTAL (25 points maximum)

Program Monitoring	Possible Points	Score
Monitoring Findings or Concerns	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns = 4	
(4 possible points)	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature that causes capacity concerns = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(4 points maximum)	
Program Monitoring Com	iments	

General Description	Possible Points	Score
Program Description	Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need	
(8 possible points)	for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1	
	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1	
(Each checked applicable box = 1	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support service needs of the participants = 1	
point)	Response has clear proposed outcomes <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem reasonable = 1	
Proposed Project	Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
Expansions will need to fully demonstrate need	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
fully demonstrate need	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely manner = 1	
	Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people withhigher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1	
Estimated Schedule	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the proposed activities, management plan, method for assuring an effective and timely completion of work <u>and</u> includes a plan to reach full capacity = 6	
(6 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of proposed schedule, but does not address all points above = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves unanswered questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
Harm Reduction and Housing First/Low Barrier (3 possible points)	Description of how the project will incorporate a Harm Reduction and Housing First model is thorough and leaves no unanswered questions = 3 points	
	Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point	
	Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points	
Housing First	Agencies were required to check each criteria in which there would be a	
(6 possible points)	policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions) <u>and</u> to provide an explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Points are not automatic; if explanation or other narratives do not back up answer, award zero points for related criteria.	
	 Award one point for each criteria that has <u>not</u> been selected. Alcohol Testing/Sobriety Requirements: no check = 1 Drug Testing/Active Substance Abuse: no check = 1 Employment Requirements: no check = 1 Minimum Income Requirements: no check = 1 	
	 Minor Criminal History (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions): no check = 1 	
	 Refusal to Participate in Services: no check = 1 Other requirements based on "housing readiness": no check or satisfactory explanation = 1 	
	Applicants that selected any of the above policies/practices for not accepting a client into the project should describe the rules that would prevent project entry.	

Housing First	Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there is a	
	policy or practice that would cause a client to be terminated from the	
(6 possible points)	project. Explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Points are not automatic; if explanation or other narratives do	
	not back up answer award zero points for related criteria.	
	Award one point for each factor that is <u>not</u> selected.	
	 Failure to participate in supportive services: award 1 point without penalty for participation requirement once a person has been stably housed, unless explanation demonstrates project will not work with a client to avoid termination = 1 	
	 Failure to follow the individual service plan: no check = 1 	
	 Failure to make progress on a service plan: no check = 1 	
	 Loss of income or failure to improve income: no check = 1 	
	 Failed drug and/or alcohol test: no check = 1 	
	 Other violations of project rules (see below): no check or satisfactory explanation = 1 	
	Applicants that selected any of the above policies/practices for termination should describe rule violations that would cause a client to be terminated from the project and any corrective measures taken prior to termination.	
Determinations by Project	PSH projects:	
Туре	Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with	
PSH: Prioritization of	the most severe needs, and clearly explains the outreach process that willbe	
Chronically Homeless	used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6	
	Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the	
RRH and Joint TH-RRH:	most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to	
Leasing and Rental	engage people living on the streets and in shelter.	
Assistance Procedure	Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people	
	with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process,	
(6 possible points)	and leaves unanswered questions = 1	
	Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0	
	RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects:	
	Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6	
	Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves unanswered questions= 3	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0me unanswered questions = 4	
Project Expansion	Expansion projects are required to demonstrate that they will increase the	N/A
Information	number of people served, provide additional supportive services to homeless	
	persons, or replace the loss of non-renewable funding - private, federal, other	
(Informational Only)	(excluding state/local government). Expansion projects under the DV bonus must	
	be dedicated solely to victims of domestic violence.	
	This is only applicable if the application is for an Expansion project as defined in	
	the HUD NOFO.	
	If the perrotive for this question release any sensorne resording preject design	
	If the narrative for this question raises any concerns regarding project design, please note in the comments below.	
L		

	TOTAL	
	(35 points maximum)	
General Description Comments		
-		

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
Educational Liaison (job title, responsibilities, and services) (5 possible points)	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison, describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5 Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3 Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
Permanent Housing Stability (5 possible points)	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 5 Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 4 Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3 Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2 Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
Increase in Income (5 possible points)	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10 Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants in increasing their employment and/or mainstream benefits, addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7 Response unclear or incomplete = 0 Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.	

(20 points maximum) upportive Services Comments		
	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1 Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
5 possible points)	the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered questions = 5	

Proposed Performance	Possible	Score
Measures	Points	
Housing Stability (3 possible points)	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 85% housing stability rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3	
Standard Baseline = 85%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 79-84% housing stability rate but the plan is realistic = 2	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe #) X 100 = %	Response indicates that the project will anticipate a housing stability rate at or below $78\% = 0$	
	Response indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 54% increase in all income rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3	
(3 possible points) Increase in Total Income	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 45-53% increasein all income rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2	
Standard Baseline = 54%	Response indicates that the project will anticipate between 35-44% increasein all income rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1	
(Target #) ÷ (Universe #) X 100 = %	Response indicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all incomerate at below $35\% = 0$	
19. Project Evaluation	Description of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, and	
(2 possible points)	leaves no unanswered questions = 2	
	Description of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, but leaves some unanswered questions = 1	
	Agency shows no project and agency evaluation, or description is incomplete - 0	
	TOTAL	
	(8 points maximum)	
Proposed Performance M	easures Comments	

Budget	Possible	Score
	Points	
Budget	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate, and realistic, and leave no questions = 10	
(10 possible points)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate, and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5	
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, complete, accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many unanswered questions = 0	
	TOTAL (10 points maximum)	
Budget Comments	(10 points maximum)	

Project Match	Possible Points	Score				
Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:					
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at						
least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and	Well defined = 5					
administrative costs.	Acceptable = 3					
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match	Unacceptable = 0					
(specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)					
TOTAL						
(5 points maximum)						
Match and Leveraging Comments						

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Scor e
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points available to project applications that	Yes = 5	
exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans. (5 possible points)	No = 0 ** Application**	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points available to project applications that	Yes = 5	
exclusively dedicate beds for youth- headed households (aged 18-24 yrs.	No = 0	
old). (5 possible points)	** Application**	
Observice Detections Descent in t		
Chronic Prioritization - Bonus points available to project applications that	Yes = 5	
exclusively dedicate beds for chronically homeless households.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)	** Application**	
Family Prioritization - Bonus points available to project applications that	Yes = 5	
exclusively dedicate beds for family	No = 0	
households.	** Application **	
(5 possible points)		
Project will be committed to using a Housing First Model: Project will use a	Yes = 10	
Housing First Model that follows a low	No = 0	
barrier approach in that it will allow entry to participants that includes: low or no	**Application & Certification**	
income, current or past substanceabuse, criminal records (with the exception as		
noted in NOFA), and history of domestic		
violence. In addition, project will not terminate participants for failure to		
participate in supportive services without making good faith attempt to work with		
participant before termination, make		
progress on service plan, loss of income/failure to improve income, failed		
drug/alcohol tests, or other. (10 possible bonus points)		

Point in Time Count Participation – Bonus points available to project applications submitted by an agency that actively participated in Point in Time Count efforts in January 2020.	Yes = 5 No = 0 **Continuum of Care Records**					
Leveraging Amounts - The CoC goal	Leveraging outside of match:					
for all leveraged resources 125% of	Leveraging outside of match:					
the grant amount(above and beyond the match amount). To receive bonus	125% or more = 10					
points, agencies should have reported leveraged resources (cash or in-kind)	100-124%=6					
outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication.	90-99%=3					
Applicants must attach agency	$L_{\text{consther}} = 0.0\% = 0$					
commitments as documentation for	Less than 90%=0					
leverage (specifically dedicated to this						
	project to receive points. TOTAL BONUS POINTS					
(45 maximum						

Total Application Points (127 maximum):

Total Bonus Points (45 maximum): _____

=
Total Points (172 maximum): _____

+

Overall Comments, Concerns, or Recommendations