Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC **Priority Listing**

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:
- New:
- Renewal:
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning:
- YHPD Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement.
- Attachment Requirement
- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved, they are not ranked per the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
- UFA Costs Project Listing:
- CoC planning Project Listing; YHPD Renewal Project Listing; and
- YHDP Replacement Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

Project Priority List FY2021	Page 1	11/14/2021

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: TLC Homelessness Board

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

2-1. Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or Yes more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in calendar year 2022 into one or more new projects?

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Projects				
\$151,534				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewa I Amount	Type of Reallocation
RRH Program	OH0583L5E011700	PH-RRH	\$151,53 4	Regular

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2021 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2021 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: RRH Program

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: OH0583L5E011700

Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-RRH
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$151,534

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 750 characters)

The project applicant notified the CoC they decided they did not want to renew this project.

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application — as detailed in the FY 2021 CoC Program Competition NOFO — may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items					

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitte d	Comp Type	Applican t Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Reall oc	PSH/RR H	Expansi on
Safety Net	2021-10- 15 09:30:	Joint TH & PH- RRH	Zepf Center	\$50,000	1 Year	X	PH Bonus		
Chronic Homeles s	2021-11- 05 13:58:	PH	Neighbor hood Prop	\$100,000	1 Year	19	PH Bonus	PSH	
SPCC RRH Rental A	2021-11- 09 16:32:	PH	St. Paul's Commun.	\$71,688	1 Year	X	PH Bonus	RRH	Yes
Housing First	2021-11- 10 10:23:	PH	Unison Health	\$241,046	1 Year	20	PH Bonus	PSH	
Coordinat ed Entry	2021-11- 14 20:36:	SSO	Toledo Lucas Coun	\$151,534	1 Year	11	Reallocati on		

Project Priority List FY2021 Page 7 11/14/2021	Project Priority List FY2021		11/14/2021
--	------------------------------	--	------------

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the ""Update List"" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	X
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	X
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	

Project Name	Date Submitt ed	Grant Term	Applica nt Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RR H	Comp Type	Consoli dation Type	Expansion Type
HMIS Renewal 2021	2021-10- 15 15:38:	1 Year	Toledo Lucas Coun	\$90,609	C9		HMIS	Individua I	
HMIS Expansio n 2021	2021-10- 15 15:28:	1 Year	Toledo Lucas Coun	\$57,990	C10		HMIS	Survivor	
NCR 2021 Common s	2021-10- 14 11:14:	1 Year	National Church R	\$75,000	6	PSH	PH		

Project Priority List FY2021	Page 8	11/14/2021
------------------------------	--------	------------

Families With Men	2021-10- 11 16:48:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$87,809	8	PSH	PH	
1st Avenue	2021-10- 13 11:28:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$239,176	13	PSH	PH	
Housing First	2021-10- 13 15:01:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$178,868	4	PSH	PH	
Fresh Start	2021-10- 14 19:19:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$112,101	2	PSH	PH	
Families With Men	2021-10- 13 15:59:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$94,585	14	PSH	PH	
Pathway To Shelter	2021-10- 14 19:24:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$105,726	3	PSH	PH	
PACT Partners hip	2021-10- 13 14:45:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$240,440	5	PSH	PH	
A Place Called Home	2021-10- 11 16:31:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$111,035	12	PSH	PH	
Special Assistan c	2021-10- 13 11:57:	1 Year	Catholic Charitie	\$246,408	7	PSH	PH	
Affordabl e Housin	2021-10- 14 19:27:	1 Year	Neighbor hood Prop	\$369,352	1	PSH	PH	
Steps to Home RRH	2021-11- 03 16:00:	1 Year	Beach House, Inc.	\$777,379	18	RRH	PH	
Steps to Home PSH	2021-11- 03 16:00:	1 Year	Beach House, Inc.	\$734,319	15	PSH	PH	
Rapid Rehousi ng S	2021-11- 03 15:23:	1 Year	St. Paul's Commun 	\$65,562	16	RRH	PH	
Walls For All	2021-11- 04 14:01:	1 Year	TASC of Northwe st	\$192,828	17	PSH	PH	

Project Priority List FY2021 Page 9 11/14/2021
--

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the ""Update List"" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the esnaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
CoC Planning Proj	2021-11-13 20:20:	1 Year	Toledo Lucas Coun	\$204,648	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the ""Update List"" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal and replacement projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the enewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing renewal projects.	X

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	PSH/RRH	Consolida tion Type
This list contains no items								

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP replacement project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the ""Update List"" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP replacement projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?
This list contains no items						

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after the you approved, ranked (New and Renewal Project Listings only), or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$3,779,187
New Amount	\$492,580
CoC Planning Amount	\$204,648
YHDP Amount	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$121,688
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$4,476,415

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD- 2991)	Yes	Certification of	11/07/2021
FY 2021 Rank Tool (optional)	No	Rank tool and sco	11/14/2021
Other	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Attachment Details

Document Description: Rank tool and scoring documents

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	09/29/2021
2. Reallocation	11/10/2021
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	11/10/2021
4. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
5A. CoC New Project Listing 11/14/2021	
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	11/09/2021
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	11/13/2021
5E. YHDP Renewal	No Input Required

Project Priority List FY2021	Page 16	11/14/2021
------------------------------	---------	------------

5F. YHDP ReplaceNo Input Required

Funding Summary

No Input Required

Attachments 11/14/2021

Submission Summary No Input Required

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: Multiple Applicants (see attachment)
Project Name: Multiple Projects (see attachment)
Location of the Project: Toledo, Lucas County, OH
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Toledo
certifying jurisdiction:
Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Rosalyn Clemens
Title: Director, Department of Neighborhoods
Signature: Nouly Com
Date:

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Instructions for completing the HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

The following information must be completed by the Continuum of Care's designated Collaborative Applicant. If the CoC has multiple projects, it may complete a single HUD-2991 for the jurisdiction provided the Collaborative Applicant includes a list of all projects with applicant names, project names, and locations that will be submitted to HUD with the form when forwarding to the jurisdiction for signature. If there are multiple jurisdictions located within a CoC's geographic area, it must obtain a signed HUD-2991 for each jurisdiction where projects are located.

Completed by the CoC's Collaborative Applicant:

Applicant Name. Enter the name of the project applicant's organization.

Project Name. Enter the name of the project application that will be submitted to HUD in the Continuum of Care Program Competition.

Location of the Project. Enter the physical address of the project; however, if the project is designated as a domestic violence project, enter a P.O. Box or address of the main administrative office provided it is not the same address as the project.

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction. Enter the name of jurisdiction that will review the project information and certify consistency with the Consolidated Plan (e.g., City of..., County, State).

Must be completed by the certifying jurisdiction.

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction. Enter the name of the official who will sign the form.

Title. Enter the official title of the certifying official (e.g., mayor, county judge, state official).

Signature. The certifying official is to sign the form.

Date. Enter the date the certifying official signs the form.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (attachment)

Applicant Name	Project Name	Location of the Project	Name of Federal Program to which Applicant is Applying
Beach House, Inc.	Steps to Home PSH III	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Beach House, Inc.	Steps to Home RRH	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Catholic Charities Diocese of Toledo	Special Assistance for Families Accessing Housing	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services	2019 NCR Commons at Garden Lake	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	1 st Avenue	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	A Place Called Home	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Affordable Housing for Persons with Mental Illness	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Chronic Homeless Assistance Project	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – New Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Families with Mental Illness	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Families with Mental Illness Expansion	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Fresh Start	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Housing First	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	PACT Partnership	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Pathway to Shelter	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
St. Paul's Community Center	Rapid Rehousing Services for Single Adults	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
TASC of Northwest Ohio, Inc.	Walls for All	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Toledo Lucas County Homelessness Board	Coordinated Entry 2021	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – New Application
Toledo Lucas County Homelessness Board	HMIS Expansion 2021	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Toledo Lucas County Homelessness Board	HMIS Renewal 2021	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – Renewal Application
Unison Health	Housing First	Toledo Lucas County	HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Program – New Application

APPLICATION SCORING DOCUMENTS

Performance Scorecard

Scoring Group:	OH-501 FY2021 Renewals (Simple view)		
Scoring Project:	All Projects	Date Range:	07/01/2020 to 06/30/2021
Project Type(s):	PSH, PH-RRH	Created By:	Hall, Rebecca

Project Information in HMIS

Project Identifiers

	Name	ID	
Organization		1398	
Project	ic C	1399	
Project Type	PH - Permanent Supportive Housing (disability required for entry)	3	
Funding Type	HMIS Missing Funding		

Grant Information

Grant Number HMIS Missing Funding

Start and End	HMIS Missing Funding
Leasing Budget	GIW Unmatched
Rental Assistance Budget	GIW Unmatched
Supportive Services Budget	GIW Unmatched
Operating Costs Budget	GIW Unmatched
HMIS Budget	GIW Unmatched
Admin Budget	GIW Unmatched
Total Annual Renewal Amount	GIW Unmatched

Scoring Summary

	Number of Criteria	Awarded Points	Available Points	
Totals	23	87.5	210	
Performance Score		41.67%		

Scoring Factors by Category

CoC Thresholds: 10 Awarded Points out of 10 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
PSH (General) - Data quality overall error rate	APR-Q6a Row:7 Column:4	Overall DQ Error Rate	Single APR Question

Equity Factors (All): 0 Awarded Points out of 0 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Manual Entry		
Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Manual Entry		
Recipient has review internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do no impose undue barriers	Manual Entry		
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Manual Entry		

Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	Manual Entry	
Recipient in working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Manual Entry	
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience	Manual Entry	

Exits to Permanent Housing: 25 Awarded Points out of 25 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	[APR-Q5a R:8,C:1] + [APR-Q23c R:1-13,C:1] + / [APR-Q5a R:1,C:1]	Total Stayers and Total PH Leavers / Total Served	(54 + 9)/(68)

General Information Score: 10 Awarded Points out of 80 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
Financial and Project Alignment Score (from paper application)	Manual Entry		
General Information Score (from paper application)	Manual Entry		
Matching and Leveraging Score (from paper application)	Manual Entry		
Project Overview and Priority Alignment Score (from paper application)	Manual Entry		

Length of Stay: 20 Awarded Points out of 20 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to housing move-in	APR-Q22c Row:10 Column:1	Average Length of Time to Housing (move-in)	Single APR Question

New or Increased Income and Earned Income: 2.5 Awarded Points out of 10 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	APR-Q19b Row:1 Column:9	% of Adults with Increased Earned Income from Start and Exit	Single APR Question
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	APR-Q19a Row:1 Column:9	% of Adults with Increased Earned Income from Start and Annual	Single APR Question
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	APR-Q19b Row:3 Column:9	% of Adults with Increased Other Income from Start and Exit	Single APR Question
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	APR-Q19a Row:3 Column:9	% of Adults with Increased Other Income from Start and Annual	Single APR Question

Returns to Homelessness: 15 Awarded Points out of 15 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants exiting to 'Place not meant for human habitation'	[APR-Q23c R:22,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:5,C:1]	Total Leavers to 'Place not meant for human habitation' / Total Leavers	(0)/(54)

Serves High Need Populations: 5 Awarded Points out of 50 Available Points

	Source	Formula	Calculation
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	[APR-Q15 R:3,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:1,C:1]	Total Persons entering with Living Siutation 'Place not meant for human habitation' / Total Served	10/68
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	[APR-Q13a2 R:3-4,C:1] / [APR- Q5a R:1,C:1]	Total Persons entering with 2 or 3+ Conditions / Total Served	(22 + 23)/68
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	[APR-Q16 R:1,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:2,C:1]	Total Persons entering with 'No Income' / Total Adults	21/68
PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	[APR-Q26b R:1,C:1] / [APR-Q26b R:Total,C:1]	Total CH Persons / Total HoH and Adults	5/68

RRH PERFORMANCE SCORECARD CRITERIA

Category	Criteria	Criteria Type	Project Type W	eight Max Thresho	lc Partial Threshold	HMIS Report Source
CoC Thresholds	RRH (General) - Data quality overall error rate	HMIS Report Question	RRH	10 <= 10 %		APR-Q6a Row:1 Column:4
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Manual Entry - Yes/No	RRH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Manual Entry - Yes/No	RRH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has review internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do no impose undue barriers	Manual Entry - Yes/No	RRH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Manual Entry - Yes/No	RRH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	Manual Entry - Yes/No	RRH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient in working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Manual Entry - Yes/No	RRH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience	Manual Entry - Yes/No	RRH	0 Yes		
Exits to Permanent Housing	RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	HMIS Report Question	RRH	25 >= 90 %	15.00 at >= 80 %	APR2020-Q23a Row:45 Column:1
General Information Score	Financial and Project Alignment Score	Manual Entry - Value	RRH	10 >= 0 Count		
General Information Score	General Information Score	Manual Entry - Value	RRH	10 >= 0 Count		
General Information Score	Matching and Leveraging Score	Manual Entry - Value	RRH	10 >= 0 Count		
General Information Score	Project Overview and Priority Alignment Score	Manual Entry - Value	RRH	50 >= 0 Count		
Length of Stay	RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to housing move-in	HMIS Report Question	RRH	20 <= 15 Day(s)	10.00 at <= 30 Day(s)	APR-Q22c Row:10 Column:1
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	HMIS Report Question	RRH	2.5 >= 15 %		APR-Q19b Row:1 Column:9
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	HMIS Report Question	RRH	2.5 >= 8 %		APR-Q19a Row:1 Column:9
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	HMIS Report Question	RRH	2.5 >= 25 %		APR-Q19b Row:3 Column:9
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	HMIS Report Question	RRH	2.5 >= 10 %		APR-Q19a Row:3 Column:9
Returns to Homelessness	RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants exiting to 'Place not meant for human habitation'	HMIS Report Calculation	RRH	15 <= 10 %		[APR-Q23c R:22,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:5,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	HMIS Report Calculation	RRH	10 >= 50 %	5.00 at >= 35 %	[APR-Q15 R:3,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:1,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	HMIS Report Calculation	RRH	10 >= 50 %	5.00 at >= 35 %	[APR-Q13a2 R:3-4,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:1,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	HMIS Report Calculation	RRH	10 >= 50 %	5.00 at >= 35 %	[APR-Q16 R:1,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:2,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	RRH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	HMIS Report Calculation	RRH	20 >= 95 %	15.00 at >= 80 %	[APR-Q26b R:1,C:1] / [APR-Q26b R:Total,C:1]

PSH PERFORMANCE SCORECARD CRITERIA

Category	Criteria	Criteria_Type	Project_Type We	ight Max_Threshol	Partial_Threshold	HMIS_Report_Source
CoC Thresholds	PSH (General) - Data quality overall error rate	HMIS Report Question	PSH	10 <= 10 %		APR-Q6a Row:7 Column:4
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Manual Entry - Yes/No	PSH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Manual Entry - Yes/No	PSH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has review internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do no impose undue barriers	Manual Entry - Yes/No	PSH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Manual Entry - Yes/No	PSH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	Manual Entry - Yes/No	PSH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient in working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Manual Entry - Yes/No	PSH	0 Yes		
Equity Factors (All)	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience	Manual Entry - Yes/No	PSH	0 Yes		
Exits to Permanent Housing	PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	HMIS Report Calculation	PSH	25 >= 90 %	15.00 at >= 80 %	[APR-Q5a R:8,C:1] + [APR-Q23c R:1-13,C:1] + / [APR-Q5a R:1,C:1]
General Information Score	Financial and Project Alignment Score	Manual Entry - Value	PSH	10 >= 0 Count		
General Information Score	General Information Score	Manual Entry - Value	PSH	10 >= 0 Count		
General Information Score	Matching and Leveraging Score	Manual Entry - Value	PSH	10 >= 0 Count		
General Information Score	Project Overview and Priority Alignment Score	Manual Entry - Value	PSH	50 >= 0 Count		
Length of Stay	PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to housing move-in	HMIS Report Question	PSH	20 <= 15 Day(s)		APR-Q22c Row:10 Column:1
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	HMIS Report Question	PSH	2.5 >= 15 %		APR-Q19b Row:1 Column:9
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	HMIS Report Question	PSH	2.5 >= 8 %		APR-Q19a Row:1 Column:9
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	HMIS Report Question	PSH	2.5 >= 25 %		APR-Q19b Row:3 Column:9
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	HMIS Report Question	PSH	2.5 >= 10 %		APR-Q19a Row:3 Column:9
Returns to Homelessness	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants exiting to 'Place not meant for human habitation'	HMIS Report Calculation	PSH	15 <= 10 %		[APR-Q23c R:22,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:5,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	HMIS Report Calculation	PSH	10 >= 75 %	5.00 at >= 60 %	[APR-Q15 R:3,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:1,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	HMIS Report Calculation	PSH	10 >= 75 %	5.00 at >= 60 %	[APR-Q13a2 R:3-4,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:1,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	HMIS Report Calculation	PSH	10 >= 80 %	5.00 at >= 70 %	[APR-Q16 R:1,C:1] / [APR-Q5a R:2,C:1]
Serves High Need Populations	PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	HMIS Report Calculation	PSH	20 >= 95 %	15.00 at >= 80 %	[APR-Q26b R:1,C:1] / [APR-Q26b R:Total,C:1]

2021 Toledo Lucas County Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring Renewal Projects ONLY

Date:	
Project Type: PSH or RRH	
Possible Points	Score
Permanent Supportive Housing = 10 Rapid Re-Housing = 5	
TOTAL	
<u>, </u>	Score
	55515
	N/A Reviewer Feedback
	Only
	Possible Points Permanent Supportive Housing = 10 Rapid Re-Housing = 5 OTAL its maximum) Reviewer Comments

PROJECT OVERVIEW AND PRIORITY ALIGNMENT

Project Overview and Priority Alignment	Possible Points	Score
Project Summary	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the project = 3	
(3 possible totalpoints)	Response gives an adequate description of project, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 1	
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about purpose of project = 0	

Objective 1-A: Participation in Coordinated Entry System

Assessment, Prioritization, & Acceptance into project of people with highest needs.

(9 possible total points)

Agencies were required to answer "Yes" or "No" to each response and to provide an explanation to support **EACH** answer. Points are not automatic; if explanation is not provided and/or does not back up answer award zero points. Checklist-

A-C:

Yes (and response fully addresses and clearly demonstrates requirement is being met) = 3 points

No (or response does not fully address or does not demonstrate requirement is being met) = 0 points

Agencies were required to explain and discuss: **a)** process of assessing clients using the appropriate SPDAT, or participation in Coordinated Entry (as it relates to assessment), **b)** how the project prioritizes clients **and** project acceptance of clients through the referral process) for this program, and **c)** program entry requirements and restrictions for homeless persons to access and be accepted into this program. Agencies *not* providing a complete response may not receive full points (A-C).

Objective 1-B: Serving People with the Highest Barriers to Housing (&/or Special Needs)

HUD is looking for projects that serve those people with most need and who would be most resistant to service. Projects are expected to reduce and remove barriers to accessing services, program acceptance and entry and continued program participation, in an effort to serve that population.

(10 possible total points)

Applicants were asked to identify a) which of the listed criteria has a bearing on whether a client was or was not accepted into their project and b) which of the listed criteria has a bearing on whether a client was or was not terminated from their project:

- a. Which criteria has a bearing on whether a client is accepted into project?
 - Having too little income = Yes (barrier) or No
 - Active or history of substance abuse = Yes (barrier) or No
 - Having a criminal record (with exception of state/federal mandated restrictions) = Yes (barrier) or No
 - History of victimization (domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse) = Yes (not allowed = barrier) or No
 - None of the above = No barriers

No barriers = 5 points 1 or 2 barriers = 2 points 3 or more barriers = 0 points

(5 possible points for A)

- b. Which criteria has a bearing on whether a client is terminated from project?
 - Failure to participate in supportive services = Not a barrier once a
 person is stably housed. Unless explanation demonstrates project
 will not work with a client to avoid termination.
 - Failure to make progress on a service plan = Yes (barrier) or No
 - None of the above = No barriers

No barriers (outside of state/federal mandated restriction) = 5 points

1 or fewer barriers = 2 points 2 or more barriers = 0 points

(5 possible points for B)

	Explanation- Agencies were required to explain responses for both "a" and "b" as to the criteria that will prohibit clients form being accepted into, or terminated from, this project. Agencies <i>not</i> providing a complete response may not receive full points (A or B). Reviewers may make adjustments to the total score for this section based on the provided narratives.	
Objective 2: Increase Housing Stability Projects should seek to increase or maintain stability for all clients. Clients should exit to permanent destinations in RRH projects and either exit to permanent destinations or maintain subsidized housing in PSH projects. (6 possible total points)	Performance data for this item is scored directly from HMIS. Applicants were asked to provide a narrative describing how they will improve or maintain housing stability for clients in the project. Reviewers should evaluate and score here based on the content of the narrative. Response is clear, concise, and gives a complete picture of how housing stability will be improved or maintained = 6 Response adequately describes how the applicant will improve or maintain housing stability for clients, but leaves a fewunanswered questions = 4 Response only partially describes how housing stability will be improved or maintained, and leaves multiple unanswered questions = 2 Response unclear and not responsive to the question = 0	

Objective 3: Increase Project Participant Income	Performance data for this item is scored directly from HMIS. Applicants were asked to provide a narrative describing steps in place to assist clients with increasing or maintaining income. Reviewers should evaluate the score here based on the content of the narrative.	
Projects should seek to increase or maintain income for all	Response is clear, concise, and gives a complete picture of how income will be increased or maintained = 6	
households. This includes earned income	Response adequately describes how the applicant will increase or maintain income for clients, but leaves a fewunanswered questions = 4	
and income from other sources such as cash benefits.	Response only partially describes how income will be increased or maintained, and leaves multiple unanswered questions = 2	
(6 possible total points)	Response unclear and not responsive to the question = 0	
Objective 4: Increase the Number of Participants Obtaining Mainstream Benefits (6 possible total	Performance data for this item is scored directly from HMIS. Applicants were asked to provide a narrative describing steps in place to assist clients with increasing or maintaining access to mainstream non-cash benefits. Reviewers should evaluate the score here based on the content of the narrative.	
points)	Response is clear, concise, and gives a complete picture of how access to benefits will be increased or maintained = 6	
	Response adequately describes how the applicant will increase or maintain access to benefits for clients, but leaves a fewunanswered questions = 4	
	Response only partially describes how access to benefits will be increased or maintained, and leaves multiple unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear and not responsive to the question = 0	ı

Objective 5: Participation in Broader CoC Planning	Applicants were asked to indicate whether or not they participate in four different broader CoC efforts.	
(5 possible total points)	Yes to all, or No with adequate explanation = 5 Yes to 2 of fewer, or No with adequate explanation = 2 Yes to 1 or 0, or lack of adequate explanations for No answers = 0	
Objective 6: Racial Equity in Housing In alignment with HUD, the CoC considers racial equity in housing projects to be a priority. CoC funded agencies should be prepared to address this priority. (5 possible total points)	Applicants were asked to describe any analysis and action taken to address racial disparities in their housing projects. If no analysis has been performed, applicants were asked to describe a plan to do so. Response is clear, concise, and indicates that an analysis has been performed and action taken to address any disparities. If no analysis has been performed, the response indicates a clear plan to address this priority going forward. = 5 Response indicates willingness to address racial disparities, but does not outline a clear plan = 3 Response is unclear, unresponsive to the question, or does not indicate willingness to address racial disparities = 0	
TOTAL (50 points maximum)		

FINANCIAL AND PROJECT INFORMATION

Financial and Project Information	Possible Points	Score
Financial and Project Information	Applicants were asked to provide information regarding the project budget amount, unexpended funds, outstanding federal debt, HUD	
(10 possible total points)	monitoring findings, and utilization. Reviewers should consider this information as a whole to provide a score.	
	Responses do not indicate any red flags, or provide adequate explanations for any issues = 10	

	Responses raise one or two concerns, but concerns do not appear severe enough to affect project performance or eligibility = 8	
	Responses raise multiple concerns, most of which are adequately explained and do not appear severe enough to affect project performance or eligibility = 5	
	Responses raise multiple concerns, some or all of which are not adequately explained and may affect project performance or eligibility = 2	
	Responses are incomplete, unresponsive, or appear to severely affect project performance or eligibility = 0	
TOTAL (10 points maximum)		

MATCH AND LEVERAGING

Match and Leveraging	Possible Points	Score
Match and Leveraging	Applicants were asked to provide sources and amounts for match and	
(10 possible total points)	leveraging funds. For renewal applicants, only match is being evaluated in the local ranking process. Reviewers should evaluate whether match appears adequate and score appropriately.	
	Match amount appears adequate and listed match source does not raise concerns = 10	
	Match amount appears inadequate and/or the match source(s) raise some concerns = 5	
	Match is not listed or match sources raise significant eligibility concerns = 0	
TOTAL		
(10 points maximum)		

General Information Score:	
+	
Project Overview and Priority Alignment Score:	

Financial and Project Alignment Score:	
Match and Leveraging Score:	
TOTAL PAPER APPLICATION SCORE:	
(80 points maximum)	

2021 Toledo Lucas County Continuum of Care Review Team Scoring NEW Projects (PSH, RRH, Joint TH-RRH, Expansion, or Other)

Reviewer Name:	Date:
Project Name:	-
Project Type: \square PSH; \square RRH; \square Joint TH-RRH (is this for a	an \square Expansion or \square DV Bonus?)
Requested Amount (General Information, page 1 of application	on):
Proposed Number of Individuals and/or Families to Serve (Total number of households, page 10 of application	

Please read each application fully first before scoring. Each scoring section has the question from the application that applies specifically to that scoring criteria. As the individual point amounts may vary slightly, please read each scoring criteria fully prior to assigning a score.

There is a "Comments/Scoring Rationale" box following the scoring chart in each section. It is important that reviewers are able to provide rationalization for each project scoring, therefore, please provide comments on scoring rationale.

Threshold Statements	Possible Points	Yes/No
PSH & RRH Agencies submitting new projects have 8 requirements to meet in order to be considered for this funding, found in the HUD NOFO (Joint TH-RRH mustalso meet HUD minimum standards**).	All the requirements checked and/oraddressed = Yes One or more of the requirements not checked or addressed = No	
Informational Questions	Reviewer Comments	Score
Agency describes how composition reflects the demographics of population served		
Agency describes how it includes individuals with lived experience in project decision-making		N/A Reviewer Feedback
Agency describes specific, tangible efforts it has made to adjust operations to be more inclusive, diverse, and accessible		Only
Agency was responsive to COVID-19 by using available resources to ensure health and safety of program participants and staff		

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring	Score	
Agency meets HUD's eligibility and threshold criteria.	Pass/Fail		
Agency demonstrates adequate capacity to carry out grant (attachments required).*	Pass/Fail		
Project meets eligible costs or activities requirements.	Pass/Fail		
Project sufficiently demonstrates eligible populations will be served.	Pass/Fail		
Project shows required match & sufficient commitments for leveraging to implement project.	Pass/Fail		
Agency does not have serious compliance or performance issues on current projects.	Pass/Fail		
Project demonstrates adequate impact or cost effectiveness.	Pass/Fail		
Project meets HUD Joint TH & PH-RRH Component Minimum Standards (Joint TH-RRH projects only)	Pass/Fail		
Other, as identified by reviewers.	Pass/Fail		
Threshold Statements Comments			

Agency Capacity	Possible Points	Score
Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement the project	Response is clear and concise; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (without concerns); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering federal funds; and there are no match/ leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 20 Excellent	
(20 possible points) New project applicants	Response is adequate; financial statements/IRS Form 990 are current (any concerns addressed); board consists of volunteer/ diverse members; applicant has experience administering government funds; and there are no match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 15 Good	
must sufficiently describe experience administering federally funded grants, and submit the most recent	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions; financial statements and/or IRS Form 990 are not current (with concerns); board consists of local volunteer/diverse members; applicant has experience administering grant funds; and/or there are match/leveraging concerns for reaching capacity = 5 Adequate	
financial audit, IRS Form 990, and list of current board members. New	Response and required documentation does not demonstrate experienceor capacity to carry out project = 0 (May be rejected by the review team)	
projects should also adequately describe how project will reach full operational capacity.	Local government applicants (county or municipality) should receive full points for this criteria provided that match has been adequately demonstrated.	
New project applications that do not demonstrate capacity to carry out project may be rejected by the review	Applicants with open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns from HUD or any other governmental or foundation funder, that doesn't demonstrate a satisfactory corrective plan of action may lose additional points or be determined not to meet threshold.	
team.		
	TOTAL (20 points maximum)	

Agency Capacity Comments		

Agency Experience	Possible Points	Score
PSH: Homeless and Permanent Supportive	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant = 20	
Housing Experience RRH: Homeless and	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited = 15	
Rapid Re-housing Experience	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves a few unanswered questions = 10	
Joint TH-RRH:	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0	
Unsheltered and Youth Homeless, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re- housing Experience	Response does not describe experience working with people who are homeless and/or managing a similar program type (PSH, RRH, or TH-RRH) = 0	
(20 possible points)		
Weighed heavily dueto the importance of the experience		

Leasing, Rental, Support Services, and HMIS Experience	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the relevant experience of the applicant and potential subrecipients (if any), for all four aspects = 5		
(5 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of related experience, but the experience is limited for one or two aspects = 3		
	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but the experience is limited for three or four aspects = 2		
	Response unclear and leaves unanswered questions about the experience = 0		
	Response does not describe experience related to leasing, rental assistance, support services and/or HMIS = 0		
TOTAL			
	(25 points maximum)		
Agency Experience Comments			

Program Monitoring	Possible Points	Score
Monitoring Findings or Concerns	Agency has no open (unresolved) monitoring findings or concerns = 4	
(4 possible points)	Agency is currently working to address monitoring findings or concerns, but a response letter has not been received by applicant = 2	
	Agency has open findings or concerns that aren't being addressed, or findings or concerns were of a serious financial or programmatic nature that causes capacity concerns = 0	
	TOTAL	
-	(4 points maximum)	
Program Monitoring Com	ments	

General Description	Possible Points	Score
Program Description	Response has a clear description of how the project meets the community need for housing (or expansion if applicable) = 1	
(8 possible points)	Response has a clear description of the target population that will be served = 1	
(Each checked	Response has a clear description of a plan to address the housing and support	
applicable box = 1 point)	service needs of the participants = 1 Response has clear proposed outcomes <u>and</u> the proposed outcomes seem	
Proposed Project	reasonable = 1 Response includes a description of planned and established partnerships = 1	
Expansions will need to	Response is clear in describing why CoC support is necessary for the project = 1	
fully demonstrate need		
	Response clearly describes the plan to reach full project capacity in a timely manner = 1	
	Response clearly describes how project will target and prioritize people withhigher needs and who are most vulnerable = 1	
Estimated Schedule	Response is clear and concise and gives a complete picture of the proposed activities, management plan, method for assuring an effective and timely completion of work <u>and</u> includes a plan to reach full capacity = 6	
(6 possible points)	Response gives an adequate description of proposed schedule, but does not address all points above = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of experience, but leaves unanswered questions= 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
Harm Reduction and Housing First/Low Barrier (3 possible points)	Description of how the project will incorporate a Harm Reduction and Housing First model is thorough and leaves no unanswered questions = 3 points	
, , ,	Description is adequate but leaves some unanswered questions = 1 point	
	Explanation is unclear or does not align with a Housing First design = 0 points	
Housing First	Agencies were required to check each criteria in which there would be a policy or practice that would prevent project entry (other than	
(6 possible points)	state/federal-mandated exceptions) and to provide an explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Points are not automatic; if explanation or other narratives do not back up answer, award zero points for related criteria.	
	 Award one point for each criteria that has not been selected. Alcohol Testing/Sobriety Requirements: no check = 1 Drug Testing/Active Substance Abuse: no check = 1 Employment Requirements: no check = 1 Minimum Income Requirements: no check = 1 Minor Criminal History (other than state/federal-mandated exceptions): no check = 1 Refusal to Participate in Services: no check = 1 Other requirements based on "housing readiness": no check or satisfactory explanation = 1 Applicants that selected any of the above policies/practices for not accepting a 	
	client into the project should describe the rules that would prevent project entry.	

Housing First (6 possible points)	Agencies were required to indicate which, if any, factors that there is a policy or practice that would cause a client to be terminated from the project. Explanation to support other requirements based on housing readiness. Points are not automatic; if explanation or other narratives do not back up answer award zero points for related criteria. Award one point for each factor that is not selected. • Failure to participate in supportive services: award 1 point without penalty for participation requirement once a person has been stably housed, unless explanation demonstrates project will not work with a client to avoid termination = 1 • Failure to follow the individual service plan: no check = 1 • Failure to make progress on a service plan: no check = 1 • Loss of income or failure to improve income: no check = 1 • Failed drug and/or alcohol test: no check = 1 • Other violations of project rules (see below): no check or satisfactory explanation = 1 Applicants that selected any of the above policies/practices for termination should describe rule violations that would cause a client to be terminated fromthe project and any corrective measures taken prior to termination.	
PSH: Prioritization of Chronically Homeless RRH and Joint TH-RRH: Leasing and Rental Assistance Procedure (6 possible points)	PSH projects: Response clearly describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, <u>and</u> clearly explains the outreach process that willbe used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter = 6 Response describes a plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and explains the outreach process that will be used to engage people living on the streets and in shelter. Response describes a minimal plan for identifying and prioritizing the people with the most severe needs, and may or may not include an outreach process, and leaves unanswered questions = 1 Response unclear, incomplete, or severity of needs not considered = 0 RRH and Joint TH-RRH projects: Response is clear and describes a consistent plan regarding assistance = 6 Response gives an adequate description of the assistance plan, but leaves unanswered questions = 3 Response unclear or incomplete = 0me unanswered questions = 4	
Project Expansion Information (Informational Only)		N/A

		·	
	TOT	AL	
	10 = 1 1		
	(35 points n	naximum)	
0	4		
General Description Comme	ents		

Supportive Services	Possible Points	Score
Educational Liaison (job title,	Response identifies a job position that serves as the educational liaison, describes the roles of the position, and has a plan to ensure that children are enrolled in school, McKinney-Vento services, and other related programs = 5	
responsibilities, and services)	Response answers some of the above, but leaves unanswered questions = 3	
(5 possible points)	Response is unclear or incomplete = 0	
Permanent Housing Stability (5 possible points)	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 5	
	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the plan to assist participants in remaining housed, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and accessing outside services. If the units are not owned by the applicant, response also includes a clear method for identifying appropriate units, and a plan for coordination between landlords and service providers. = 4	
	Response gives an adequate description of proposed plan, but does not address all points above = 3	
	Response gives an adequate description, but leaves unanswered questions = 2	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
Increase in Income (5 possible points)	Response is clear and concise, gives a complete picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 10	
	Response is clear and concise, gives an adequate picture of the specific plan to assist participants in increasing their employment and/or income, and includes addressing the needs of the target population, through both case management and coordination with mainstream service programs to ensure participates are assisted in accessing mainstream services. Response also addresses how the service delivery will result in increased employment and/or mainstream benefits, leading participants towards increased financial independence. = 7	
	Response unclear or incomplete = 0	
	Responses that do not include a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and ensure participants are assisted to obtain benefits from mainstream programs for which they may be eligible will not meet HUD threshold requirements.	

Supportive Services (5 possible points)	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants in order to implement a comprehensive program, and description of services is clear, frequency is often, and leaves no unanswered questions = 5	
	Response indicates that at least 11 of 16 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is acceptable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 4	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, and description of services is clear, frequency is acceptable, and leaves no unanswered questions = 3	
	Response indicates that 7-10 services will be offered/provided for the participants, but description of services is not clear, frequency is questionable, or leaves some unanswered questions = 1 Response indicates that less than 7 services will be offered/provided to the participants = 0	
	TOTAL	
	(20 points maximum)	
Supportive Services Con	nments	

ndicates that the project will anticipate at least an 85% housing and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 andicates that the project will anticipate between 79-84% housing the but the plan is realistic = 2 andicates that the project will anticipate a housing stability rate at 19% = 0 and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 andicates that the project will anticipate between 45-53% increase in the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2 and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2 and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 andicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all incomerate 10% = 0
ndicates that the project will anticipate a housing stability rate at 19% = 0 Indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 54% increase in rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 Indicates that the project will anticipate between 45-53% increase in rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2 Indicates that the project will anticipate between 35-44% increase in rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 Indicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all incomerate
Indicates that the project will anticipate at least an 54% increase in rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 and the plan to reach that rate between 45-53% increasein rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2 and the plan to reach that rate between 35-44% increasein rate and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 and the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1
rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 3 and the plan to reach that rate between 45-53% increaseing rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2 and the plan to reach that rate between 35-44% increaseing rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 and the project will anticipate an increase in all incomerate
rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 2 ndicates that the project will anticipate between 35-44% increasein rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 ndicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all incomerate
rate <u>and</u> the plan to reach that rate is thorough and realistic = 1 ndicates that the project will anticipate an increase in all incomerate
· · ·
of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, and nanswered questions = 2
of project and agency evaluation is thorough, realistic, but leaves swered questions = 1
ows no project and agency evaluation, or description is incomplete
TOTAL (8 points maximum)
nments

Budget Possible Score				
Duaget	Points	00010		
Budget	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate, and realistic, and leave no questions = 10			
(10 possible points)	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are complete, accurate, and realistic, but leave unanswered questions = 7			
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are acceptable, but leave unanswered questions = 5			
	The budgets and rationale for the requested amounts are not clear, complete, accurate, or realistic, and/or leave too many unanswered questions = 0			
TOTAL (10 points maximum)				
Budget Comments				

Project Match	Possible Points	Score
Match (Cash or In-Kind Resources)*	Match:	
New projects must demonstrate required match resources equal to at		
least 25% of the total requested HUD funding, including project and	Well defined = 5	
administrative costs.	Acceptable = 3	
*New project applicants must attach agency commitments for match	Unacceptable = 0	
(specifically dedicated to this project).	(commitments required)	
TOTAL	1	
(5 points maximum)		
Match and Leveraging Comments		

Bonus Points	Possible Points	Scor e
Veteran Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	C
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for Veterans.	No = 0	
(5 possible points)		
	** Application**	
Youth Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for youth-	No = 0	
headed households (aged 18-24 yrs.	**	
old). (5 possible points)	** Application**	
(3 possible politis)		
Chronic Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that	. 55 0	
exclusively dedicate beds for	No = 0	
chronically homeless households.		
/ -	** Application**	
(5 possible points)		
Family Prioritization - Bonus points	Yes = 5	
available to project applications that		
exclusively dedicate beds for family	No = 0	
households.	** Application**	
(5 possible points)	Дррисацоп	
Project will be committed to using a	Yes = 10	
Housing First Model: Project will use a	3	
Housing First Model that follows a low	No = 0	
barrier approach in that it will allow entry		
to participants that includes: low or no	**Application & Certification**	
income, current or past substanceabuse,		
riminal records (with the exception as noted in NOFA), and history of domestic		
violence. In addition, project will not		
terminate participants for failure to		
participate in supportive services without		
making good faith attempt to work with		
participant before termination, make		
progress on service plan, loss of		
income/failure to improve income, failed drug/alcohol tests, or other.		
(10 possible bonus points)		
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		

Point in Time Count Participation – Bonus points available to project	Yes = 5	
applications submitted by an agency that	No = 0	
actively participated in Point in Time Count efforts in January 2020.	**Continuum of Care Records**	
Loveraging Amounts The CoC gool	Lavarania a sutsida of mataba	
Leveraging Amounts - The CoC goal for all leveraged resources 125% of	Leveraging outside of match:	
the grant amount(above and beyond the match amount). To receive bonus	125% or more = 10	
points, agencies should have reported leveraged resources (cash or in-kind)	100-124%=6	
outside of the match resources listed above to insure no duplication.	90-99%=3	
Applicants must attach agency		
commitments as documentation for	Less than 90%=0	
leverage (specifically dedicated to this		
project to receive points.		
TOTAL BON	NUS POINTS	
(45 maximum		

Total Application Points (127 maximum):	
+	
Total Bonus Points (45 maximum):	
=	
Total Points (172 maximum):	

Overall Comments,	Concerns, or Recom	mendations	

Rank	Project Type	Applicant Name	Project Name	Request	Funding Request Running Total	Notes	Funding Tier	
1	РН	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Affordable Housing for Persons With Mental Illness	\$369,352.00	\$369,352.00			
2	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Fresh Start	\$112,101.00	\$481,453.00			
3	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Pathway To Shelter	\$105,726.00	\$587,179.00			
4	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Housing First	\$178,868.00	\$766,047.00			
5	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	PACT Partnership	\$240,440.00	\$1,006,487.00			
6	PH	National Church Residences Permanent Supportive Housing Services	2019 NCR Commons at Garden Lake	\$75,000.00	\$1,081,487.00			
7	PH	Catholic Charities Diocese of Toledo	Special Assistance for Families Accessing Housing	\$246,408.00	\$1,327,895.00			
8	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Families With Mental Illness	\$87,809.00	\$1,415,704.00		Tier 1	
9	HMIS	TLC Homelessness Board	HMIS Renewal 2019	\$90,609.00	\$1,506,313.00		Her I	
10	HMIS	TLC Homelessness Board	HMIS Expansion 2019	\$57,990.00	\$1,564,303.00			
11	SSO	TLC Homelessness Board	Coordinated Entry 2021	\$151,534.00	\$1,715,837.00			
12	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	A Place Called Home	\$111,035.00	\$1,826,872.00			
13	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	1st Avenue	\$239,176.00	\$2,066,048.00			
14	PH	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Families With Mental Illness Expansion	\$94,585.00	\$2,160,633.00			
15	PH	Beach House, Inc.	Steps to Home PSH III	\$734,319.00	\$2,894,952.00			
16	PH	St. Paul's Community Center	Rapid Rehousing Services for Single Adults	\$65,562.00	\$2,960,514.00			
17	PH	TASC of Northwest Ohio, Inc.	Walls For All	\$192,828.00	\$3,153,342.00			
18	PH	Beach House, Inc.	Steps to Home RRH	\$777,379.00	\$3,930,721.00			
19	CoC Bonus	Neighborhood Properties, Inc.	Chronic Homeless Assistance Project	\$100,000.00	\$4,030,721.00	budget subject to adjustment	Tier 2	
20	CoC Bonus	Unison Health	Housing First	\$241,081.00	\$4,271,802.00	budget subject to adjustment	Tier 2	
Rejected	CoC Bonus	St. Paul's Community Center	RRH Rental Assistance	\$0.00	\$4,271,802.00	insufficient funding available		
Rejected	CoC Bonus	Zepf Center	Safety Net	\$0.00	\$4,271,802.00	did not meet threshold; ineligible activities proposed	N/A	
N/A	Planning	TLC Homelessness Board	CoC Planning Project Application FY2021	\$204,648.00	\$4,476,450.00			