**FY 2022 OH-501 Toledo Lucas County Continuum of Care Scorecard**

*CoC Annual Renewal Projects ONLY*

Reviewer Name: Review Date:

Name of Project Reviewed:

Permanent Housing Application Type: [ ]  PSH; [ ]  RRH; [ ]  Joint TH-RRH [ ]  Supportive Services Only (SSO) [ ]  SSO project for Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)

**THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS**

Agencies applying for funding must meet the following requirements to be considered for funding:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Metric** | **Score** |
| Agencies applying for funding meet the 15 (16 if a DV provider) threshold requirements | All requirements checked= PASSOne or more of the **Non-DV** requirements NOT checked= FAIL | [ ] **PASS** [ ] **FAIL** |

**PROGRAM OVERVIEW & PRIORITY**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Metric** | **Score** |
| **PROJECT SUMMARY** | **Narrative describes:** | **Answers question clearly & concisely** | **Answers question adequately but leaves questions** | **Question NOT answered** |  |
| **TOTAL Points Possible= 8** | Target population described | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Plan to meet housing and support service needs of participant | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Project outcomes are listed | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Coordination with community partners is described | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | **SUMMARY SECTION TOTAL** |  |
| **Reviewer Comments** |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Metric** | **Score** |
| **OBJ. 1-A: PARTICIPATION IN COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM** | **Adequately describes:** | **YES** | **NO** |  |
| **TOTAL Points Possible= 10** | Agency uses required assessment tools | 1 | 0 |  |
| 100% of referrals to CoC funded programs are from CE | 1 | 0 |  |
| Agency attends SPDAT Waitlist Mtgs >=90% | 1 | 0 |  |
| Agency follows CE Standards, Policies and Procedures | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | **Answers question clearly & concisely** | **Answers question adequately but leaves questions** | **Question NOT answered** |  |
| Narrative explains project prioritization process | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Narrative explains project acceptance of referrals | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Narrative explains entry restrictions and requirements | 2 | 1 | **0** |  |
|  |  |  |  | **SECTION 1-A TOTAL** |  |
| **Reviewer Comments** |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Metric** | **Score** |
| **OBJ. 1-B: SERVING PEOPLE with HIGH BARRIERS/NEEDS** | **Identifies the following barriers for project acceptance:** | **Barrier Checked** | **Barrier NOT Checked** |  |
| **TOTAL Points Possible= 11** | Too little income | 1 | 0 |  |
| Active or history of substance abuse | 1 | 0 |  |
| Criminal record (w/exception to state or federal mandated restrictions) | 1 | 0 |  |
| History of victimization (DV, sexual assault, childhood abuse) | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | **Answers question clearly & concisely** | **Answers question adequately but leaves questions** | **Question NOT answered** |  |
| Narrative explains responses to acceptance criteria questions | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| **Identifies the following criteria are used for client termination:** | **Barrier NOT Checked** | **Barrier Checked** |  |
| Failure to participate in supportive services | 1 | 0 |  |
| Failure to make progress on service plan | 1 | 0 |  |
| Loss of income or failure to improve income | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | **Answers question clearly & concisely** | **Answers question adequately but leaves questions** | **Question NOT answered** |  |
| Narrative explains responses to termination questions | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | **SECTION 1-B TOTAL** |  |
| **Reviewer Comments** |
|  |

**Explanation‐**Agencies were required to explain responses for both “a” and “b” as to the criteria that will prohibit clients form being accepted into, or terminated from, this project. Agencies *not* providing a complete response may not receive full points (A or B). Reviewers may adjust the total score for this section based on the provided narratives. ***Please indicated rational in comments above.***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Metric**  | **Score** |
|  | **Narrative describes** | **Answers question clearly & concisely** | **Answers question adequately but leaves questions** | **Answer is partial, but leaves multiple questions** | **Question NOT answered** |  |
| **OBJ. 2: INCREASING HOUSING STABILITY** | Plans to improve or maintain housing stability of participants | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |
| **OBJ. 3:****INCREASE PROJECT PARTICIPANT INCOME** | Plans to maintain/improve household income, including earned income and other resources such as cash benefits | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |
| **OBJ. 4: INCREASING NON-CASH BENEFITS** | Plans to improve or maintain housing stability of participants | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |
| **TOTAL Points Possible= 18** |  |  |  |  | **SECTION 2,3,4 TOTAL**  |  |
| **Standard** | **Metric** | **Score** |
| **OBJ. 5: CoC PLANNING PARTICIPATION** | **Adequately describes:** | **YES** | **NO** |  |
| **TOTAL Points Possible= 8** | Organization attends Community Advisory Council Mtgs>=90% | 1 | 0 |  |
| Organization is committed to supporting and participating in broader CoC efforts | 1 | 0 |  |
| Organization has participated in and supported the most recent Point-In-Time Counts | 1 | 0 |  |
| Organization enters client data into the Toledo/Lucas County HMIS System (or comparable system for Victim Service Providers) | 1 | 0 |  |
|  | **Answers question clearly & concisely** | **Answers question adequately but leaves questions** | **Question NOT answered** |  |
| Narrative explains “No” answers adequately | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Bonus-There were no “No” answers | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | **SECTION 5 TOTAL** |  |
| **Reviewer Comments** |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Metric** | **Score** |
| **OBJ. 6:****RACIAL EQUITY IN HOUSING** | **Response is clear, concise and indicates analysis has been completed & a clear plan is on place** | **Response is clear, concise and indicates analysis has been completed but there is no clear plan** | **Response indicates a willingness to address racial disparities but there is no plan or assessment** | **Response is unclear, leaves many questions and does not indicate a willingness to address disparities** |  |
| **TOTAL Points Possible= 10** | 10 | 8 | 5 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | **SECTION 6 TOTAL** |  |
| **Reviewer Comments** |
|  |

Applicants were asked to provide information regarding the project budget amount, unexpended funds, outstanding federal debt, HUD monitoring findings, and utilization. Reviewers should consider this information to provide a score.

**FINANCIAL & PROJECT INFORMATION**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Metric** |  |  | **Score** |
| **TOTAL Points Possible = 10** | **Responses do not indicate any red flags, or provide adequate explanations for any issues** | **Responses raise one or two concerns, but concerns do not appear severe enough to affect project performance or eligibility** | **Responses raise multiple concerns, most of which are adequately explained and do not appear severe enough to affect project performance or eligibility** | **Responses raise multiple concerns, some, or all of which are not adequately explained and may affect project performance or eligibility** | **Responses are incomplete, unresponsive, or appear to severely affect project performance or eligibility** |  |
|  | 10 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | **SECTION 7 TOTAL** |  |
| **Reviewer Comments** |
|  |

Applicants were asked to provide sources and amounts for match and leveraging funds. For renewal applicants, only match is being evaluated in the local ranking process. Reviewers should evaluate whether match appears adequate and score appropriately.

**MATCH & LEVERAGE INFORMATION**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Metric** | **Metric** |  | **Score** |
| **TOTAL Points Possible = 10** | **Match amount appears adequate and listed match source does not raise concerns** | **Match amount appears inadequate and/or the match source(s) raise some concerns** | **Match is not listed or match sources raise significant eligibility concerns** |  |
|  | 10 | 8 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | **SECTION 8 TOTAL** |  |
| **Reviewer Comments** |
|  |

**CHECKLIST**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Metric** | **Score** |
| **All Required Attachments are provided** | **YES** | **NO** |  |
| **TOTAL Points Possible = 4** | 4 | 0 |  |
|  |  | **SECTION 9 TOTAL** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Overall Reviewer Comments**  |
|  |

**CRC Scoring SUMMARY**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Agency Reviewed:** | **Project Name:** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PROGRAM OVERVIEW & PRIORITY** | **POINTS POSSIBLE** | **POINTS ACCRUED** |
| **PROGRAM OVERVIEW & PRIORITY** | **8** | **SUMMARY TOTAL** |  |
| **Objective 1.A** | **10** |  **SECTION 1-A TOTAL** |  |
| **Objective 1.B** | **11** | **SECTION 1-B TOTAL** |  |
| **Objective 2,3 & 4:** | **18** | **SECTION 2,3,4 TOTAL** |  |
| **Objective 5:** | **8** | **SECTION 5 TOTAL** |  |
| **Objective 6:** | **10** | **SECTION 6 TOTAL** |  |
| **FINANCIAL & PROJECT INFORMATION** | **10** | **SECTION 7 TOTAL** |  |
| **MATCH & LEVERAGE INFORMATION** | **10** | **SECTION 8 TOTAL** |  |
| **CHECKLIST** | **4** | **SECTION 9 TOTAL** |  |
| **TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS** | **89** | **CRC TOTAL SCORE:** |  |

**SYSTEM PERFORMANCE SCORING-PSH *FOR ADMIN USE ONLY***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Criteria** | **Scoring Threshold** | **Points** | **Scoring Threshold** | **Points** |
| Data Quality | Overall data quality error rate | <=5% | 10 | <=10% | 5 |
| Exits to Permanent Housing | Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | >90% | 10 | >80% | 5 |
| Length of Stay | On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to housing move-in | <=15 days | 10 | <=30% | 5 |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | >=15% | 2 |  |  |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | >=8% | 2 |  |  |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | >=25% | 2 |  |  |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | >=10% | 2 |  |  |
| Returns to Homelessness | Minimum percent of participants exiting project to place not meant for human habitation | <=10% | 7 |  |  |
| Serves High Need Population | Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | >=75% | 4 | >=60% | 2 |
| Serves High Need Population | Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | >=75% | 4 | >=60% | 2 |
| Serves High Need Population | Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | >=80% | 4 | >=70% | 2 |
| Serves High Need Population | XX% of participants are chronically homeless | >=95% | 8 | >=80% | 4  |
|  |  | **TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE** | **65** |  |  |

**SYSTEM PERFORMANCE SCORING-RRH, Joint TH-RRH *FOR ADMIN USE ONLY***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Criteria** | **Scoring Threshold** | **Points** | **Scoring Threshold** | **Points** |
| Data Quality | Overall data quality error rate | <=5% | 10 | <=10% | 5 |
| Exits to Permanent Housing | Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | >90% | 10 | >80% | 5 |
| Length of Stay | On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to housing move-in | <=15 days | 10 | <=30% | 5 |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | >=15% | 2 |  |  |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | >=8% | 2 |  |  |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | >=25% | 2 |  |  |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | >=10% | 2 |  |  |
| Returns to Homelessness | Minimum percent of participants exiting project to place not meant for human habitation | <=10% | 7 |  |  |
| Serves High Need Population | Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | >=75% | 4 | >=60% | 2 |
| Serves High Need Population | Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | >=75% | 4 | >=60% | 2 |
| Serves High Need Population | Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | >=80% | 4 | >=70% | 2 |
| Serves High Need Population | XX% of participants are chronically homeless | >=95% | 8 | >=80% | 4  |
|  |  | **TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE** | **65** |  |  |